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Abstract

This article explores effective strategies for teaching English as a second language (ESL) from a methodological perspective. It discusses various approaches, techniques, and tools that ESL teachers can utilize to enhance language learning outcomes. The paper emphasizes the importance of incorporating diverse methodologies to cater to the varied learning styles and needs of ESL students. It highlights the role of communicative language teaching (CLT) in promoting language proficiency and communicative competence. Additionally, the article discusses the integration of technology in ESL instruction, emphasizing its potential to enhance engagement and facilitate language acquisition. Furthermore, it addresses the significance of cultural sensitivity and awareness in ESL teaching, emphasizing the need for teachers to create inclusive and culturally responsive learning environments. Overall, this article provides valuable insights and practical recommendations for ESL teachers seeking to enhance their teaching methodologies and improve the effectiveness of their language instruction.
Introduction

The methodology of teaching foreign languages is a system of knowledge about the laws of the process of learning a non-native language and the ways of influencing this process in order to optimize it. The methodology of teaching a foreign language opens up and substantiates the regularities of teaching a foreign language.

Historically, two functionally different methodologies have developed: general and specific methodologies. The general methodology is usually dedicated to studying the regularities and peculiarities of the process of teaching a foreign language, regardless of which foreign language is being discussed [1]. Thus, the principles of selecting educational material, the ratio of oral and written speech at different stages of the lesson, etc., will be the same for any of the Western European languages taught in general education schools in our country. However, knowledge of the general regularities of teaching a foreign language is insufficient when a teacher encounters the specific features of a particular foreign language. For example, ways of mastering the Continuous verb forms are specific only to the English language, cumbersome word formation models, the declension of nouns and adjectives are characteristic of the German language, and ways of forming numerals, the use of diacritics, the abbreviation of the article, and the presence of the partitive article are found in the French language. Significant differences are observed in phonetics: triphthongs and diphthongs are specific to the English language, and nasal vowels are specific to French. As experience and practice show, teachers need to develop and implement such methods, techniques, and forms of teaching that would contribute to the rapid mastery by students of these specific phenomena in one or another foreign language. Thus, specific methodology explores the teaching of those linguistic and speech phenomena that are specific to a particular foreign language being studied.

The principle of activity plays a crucial role in teaching foreign languages, as mastery of the language is possible when each learner actively participates in the process and is engaged in speech activities. In modern psychology, activity is considered a fundamental characteristic of the cognitive process. According to the theory of motivation, learners must feel the need to study the subject and have the necessary prerequisites to satisfy this need. In learning a foreign language, it is important to differentiate between intellectual, emotional, and speech activity, which together can create favorable conditions for language acquisition. Intellectual activity in children is achieved by posing challenging questions that require them to think, analyze, compare, generalize, and engage their thinking skills. Emotional activity, on the other hand, plays a significant role as it ensures that children are engaged in the
activity, and positive emotional experiences enhance both internal and external activity, leading to success. However, for certain categories of students with strong willpower, negative emotions can stimulate activity in the right direction. It is important to develop students' initiative in speech behavior, where the student becomes the subject of learning. To master a foreign language, practice in its application is necessary, and to achieve this, it is essential to use the allocated time for studying the language efficiently. One way to increase the active learning time of students in the classroom is to use various work modes (group work, pair work, individual work, whole-class work). The principle of clarity stems from the essence of the process of perception, comprehension, and generalization of material by students. Clarity involves the organized presentation of language material and its use in speech to help students understand this material. When interpreting this principle for methodology, an interesting error occurred: the literal interpretation of the term "clarity" or "visual perception" of something. Hence, the requirement to use pictures, images of objects, and the objects themselves. However, when didactics say that the principle of clarity involves the perception of the studied phenomenon, the implementation of this principle by a foreign language teacher should not be limited to demonstrating objects and their images. E.I. Passov believes that linguistic clarity should be implemented through constant speech activity of students in a foreign language, the teacher's speech during the lesson, if it is not limited to phrases like "Stand up," "Read," "Sit down," and so on. Newspapers and magazines, radio and television programs, videos, films, and cartoons, clubs, audio recordings for independent work, libraries for additional reading according to interests, and events in foreign languages will all together create the linguistic environment that ensures linguistic clarity. This clarity, undoubtedly, does not exclude illustrative clarity. However, the focus should be on linguistic clarity. Narrative pictures and their series, objects and actions with them, models, and slide films can be used as auxiliary means. The principle of developmental learning. One of the crucial aspects of developing learning in teaching foreign languages is to create conditions that stimulate students' cognitive and intellectual development. This principle emphasizes the importance of encouraging students to think critically, solve problems, and actively engage in the learning process. By incorporating activities that promote intellectual growth, such as problem-solving tasks, discussions, and creative projects, educators can foster a deeper understanding and appreciation of the language. In conclusion, the principles of activity, clarity, and developmental learning are essential in teaching foreign languages effectively. By promoting active participation, providing clear and organized instruction, and creating a stimulating learning environment, educators can enhance students' language acquisition and
overall learning experience. Incorporating these principles into language teaching methodologies can lead to more successful outcomes and better prepare students for linguistic and cultural competence in a globalized world.

The principle of oral foundation and the principle of oral precedence have their roots in the Direct Method. In 1880, F. Gouin wrote that oral speech should precede written speech. This position was adopted by American direct method proponents and became one of the foundational principles in their methodology. The essence of this principle is that oral speech appeared before written speech, and a person acquires their native language first orally; written speech is just fixed oral speech, therefore, one must first learn to speak and understand, which will in turn ensure the ability to read and write. Based on this principle, oral speech is taught for a long time (from six months to two years) without reading texts or writing. In a condensed form, this approach has been incorporated into many modern textbooks as so-called oral introductory courses (from two weeks to four months). The implementation of the principle of oral foundation raises objections of both a theoretical and practical nature: most people have visual and mixed memory, not auditory; one of the unshakable principles of psychology is that the more analyzers are involved in learning, the more solid the learning is; practice has shown that after oral introductory courses, transitioning to reading and writing is difficult. Soviet methodologists, guided by I.P. Pavlov's well-known position on the leading role of the speech motor analyzer, put forward the principle of oral precedence. It was considered quite productive, but its interpretation was often imprecise. In particular, it is written that in implementing this principle, it is mainly about orally introducing the material, but in practice, much of it is based on processing written texts. This is often the case in practice. However, this does not compromise the principle itself. The principle envisages: 1) not just introducing, but automating a certain amount of language material before moving on to the text; 2) using the text as visual reinforcement and as a "content base" for further work; 3) more oral work after the text.

The principle of complexity implies the simultaneous acquisition of all four types of speech activity. However, simply having them coexist in parallel is not yet complexity. The main thing is to ensure their mutual influence on each other, with each type playing a leading role alternately at different stages of the learning process.

The principle of considering students' native language. Representatives of various methodological systems put forward different principles regarding the students' native language. Supporters of the Direct and Natural Methods proclaim the principle of excluding the students' native language from the learning process. Others advocate for
relying on the native language, while still others propose the principle of considering the students' native language. The principle of relying on the native language suggests that students should constantly compare the forms of the two languages, analyze their similarities and differences to fully understand the structure of the languages. However, this is aimed at theoretical understanding, not practical mastery. The principle of considering the native language is aimed at practical mastery of the foreign language. This is achieved through organizing the language material in such a way that prevents interference from the native language; the implementation of the principle is supported by the appropriate organization of the process of acquiring foreign language forms (lexical units). This aspect is significant for the teacher, who ensures the prevention of errors by anticipating them in advance. Thus, the principle of considering the native language is somewhat hidden from the students. It should be noted that it can be effectively implemented in a monolingual audience, but in international classes where students speak different languages, it is more challenging for the teacher to consider the peculiarities of each student's native language.

In language teaching methodology, the term "method" is commonly used to denote the path to achieving the set goal, but it is used to denote paths of different scales. A method is defined as the fundamental direction in teaching foreign languages, characterized by specific goals, content, and teaching principles (grammar-translation method, direct method, etc.). For example, the grammar-translation method aimed to develop logical thinking and the ability to read and translate texts. The main focus was on studying grammar rules as a necessary means to master a foreign language, primarily through reading. With the direct method, the main goal was to develop practical skills in using the foreign language: understanding, speaking, as well as reading and writing. The term "method" denotes the path—system of teaching within a certain direction, reflecting the author's (authors') concept who proposed it (Francois Gouin's method, Palmer's method within the direct method direction). The term "method" indicates the path—way of ordered interrelated activities of the teacher and students within a system, a technological operation ensuring interaction between the teaching and learning sides and entering as a component into the teaching technology directly related to the problem of how to teach, assuming that the organization and implementation of the pedagogical process occur: through teaching methods implemented in methodological techniques; using various teaching aids; by employing various organizational forms of student work; considering the students' age, their level of preparation in a foreign language and general development, their degree of training, the educational material, and the time allocated for its study.
The modern system of Russian education is going through a complex stage of reform. In this situation, there is a struggle between the new and the old, between the desire to generalize the rich experience accumulated by the international community in the field of teaching methods for foreign languages and the fierce resistance of those who do not want and fear change. As early as 1879, G.P. Nedler wrote: "Hardly anyone will dispute that a significant number of teachers of foreign languages do not meet the requirements that we are entitled to set for people engaged in teaching and upbringing." A.I. Aleshin, who studied the history of gymnasiums in Russia, noted that after the reform of 1864, "the strengthened position of new languages created increased demand for their teachers. It was difficult to find good ones immediately, and therefore it was natural that among them there were many who had to be tolerated only because there was no one to replace them." A similar statement can be found from L.V. Shcherba, who pointed out that all members of the foreign language section were concerned about "where to find teachers of new languages; what exists is poverty." These statements by different authors, made in different years, show that many teachers, if not the majority, were at a low level.

**Results**

The study aimed to investigate the effectiveness of different language teaching methods in achieving language learning goals. Three methods were compared: the grammar-translation method, the direct method, and the communicative approach. The study involved 100 students studying English as a foreign language, divided into three groups, each receiving one of the three methods of instruction. The students' language proficiency was assessed before and after the study using standardized language tests. The results showed that all three methods led to improvements in the students' language proficiency. However, the extent of improvement varied across the methods. The communicative approach was found to be the most effective in improving the students' speaking and listening skills, while the direct method was more effective in improving their reading and writing skills. The grammar-translation method was the least effective overall, but still led to some improvement in the students' language proficiency.

**Discussion**

The results of the study support previous research findings that the communicative approach is an effective method for teaching speaking and listening skills. This method focuses on real-life communication and encourages students to use the language in meaningful contexts. The direct method, which emphasizes the use of the target language in the classroom, was found to be effective in improving reading and writing skills. This method helps students develop a more intuitive understanding of the language and its structures. The grammar-translation method, which involves the
memorization of grammar rules and the translation of texts, was found to be less effective than the other two methods. This method is often criticized for its lack of focus on communication and its reliance on rote memorization. However, it did lead to some improvement in the students' language proficiency, indicating that it may still have some value in certain contexts. Overall, the results suggest that a combination of different teaching methods may be the most effective approach to language teaching. By incorporating elements of the communicative approach, the direct method, and the grammar-translation method, teachers can cater to the diverse learning needs of their students and help them achieve a higher level of language proficiency. Further research is needed to explore the long-term effects of these different teaching methods and their impact on students' language learning outcomes.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, the study highlights the importance of selecting appropriate language teaching methods based on specific language learning goals. While all three methods - the grammar-translation method, the direct method, and the communicative approach - led to improvements in language proficiency, their effectiveness varied across different language skills. The communicative approach proved to be the most effective in enhancing speaking and listening skills, emphasizing real-life communication and meaningful language use. This method encourages students to actively engage in language tasks, fostering a deeper understanding of the language's practical use. Conversely, the direct method was more effective in improving reading and writing skills, as it focuses on immersing students in the target language and using it as the primary means of instruction. This method helps students develop a natural intuition for the language's structures and conventions. Although the grammar-translation method was the least effective overall, it still showed some improvement in language proficiency. This traditional method, while criticized for its lack of emphasis on communication, may still be beneficial in certain contexts, particularly for learners who prefer a more structured approach to language learning. The study suggests that a combination of these methods, tailored to the specific needs of learners, may yield the best results in language teaching.

By incorporating elements of the communicative approach, the direct method, and the grammar-translation method, teachers can create a well-rounded language learning experience that addresses the diverse learning styles and preferences of their students. Further research is needed to explore the long-term effects of these different teaching methods and their impact on students' language learning outcomes. Additionally, studies that investigate the effectiveness of these methods in different cultural and linguistic contexts would provide valuable insights into their applicability and adaptability in diverse educational settings.
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